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**Abstract:**

Digital IoT technologies present new cyber risk in the supply chain of the digital economy which are often not visible to companies participating in the digital supply chains. This paper discusses how the IoT cyber risks can be visualised in the process of designing business and supply chain strategies. The literature reviewed includes industry and government papers and compares established business and supply chain models with studies on new IoT technologies. This article defines the design parameters for a decision support system for visualising cyber risk from IoT supply chain in the digital economy. The design process is grounded on a case study on two IoT companies. The methods applied in the case study include open and categorical coding and discourse analysis.
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1 Introduction

The digital supply chains expose new types of cyber risk in the digital economy from shared infrastructure. The impact of Internet of Things (IoT) technologies on supply chain cyber risk has rarely been discussed in academic literature. The visibility of cyber risk is especially neglected in the context of IoT digital technology and digital capabilities in small and medium enterprises (SME’s) supply chains in the digital economy. The integration of IoT digital technology in supply chains require standardisation reference architecture for managing complexities and resources efficiently. But the digital economy at present lack clarification on individual levels of the strategic, functional and operational challenges from IoT digital technologies in the supply chain.

2 The Methodology

The research methods applied to build the decision support system include literature review and case study and the data is synthesised using the grounded theory approach 1, using qualitative primary and secondary resources and categorising emergent concepts into themes. The diversity of the case study participants represented in the sample population, is analysed with reference to the ‘Industry Classification Benchmark’ 2, to determine the industry representativeness and to eliminate industry bias 3. This approach has been applied previously in peer-reviewed literature 4–7. The process of ensuring validity of the findings, applied qualitative research techniques 8–10. Open and categorical coding is applied to analyse and categorise the qualitative data. This represents a time-tested complimenting method for grounded theory 11. Open coding provides a reliable representation of the data collected, while categorical coding subsequently recognises the profounder concepts in the data 12. Discourse analysis is applied to evaluate and interpret the connotation behind the explicitly stated approaches 10, along with tables of evidence 13 and conceptual diagrams 14 to present graphical analysis.

3 Literature Review

In the literature reviewed, there is no clear-cut nor mutually exclusive viewpoint on IoT supply chains and the visibility of cyber risk 15. We have a juxtaposition of supply chain models 16 and IoT digital technologies 17. Represented as two research areas being placed close together with contrasting effect 18. From a technical point of view, the review does not address the related areas of vertical and horizontal integration, smart supply chains, and supply chain visibility. That would
represent too many topics and lead to a lack of focus. Instead, the reviews and categorises the best practices, design principles, common approaches, and standards affecting the supply chains in the digital economy. The review identifies concepts related to the SME’s digital capabilities for the digital economy, focusing on the supply chains in relation to IoT technologies.

4 Building a new Framework from Existing Supply Chain Models

Business and supply chain integration requires consensus on objectives, identification of the best level of integration, confirming organisational compatibility, willingness to integrate operations and focus on improved collective performance.

The focus of business strategies in on supply chain integration, but complexities remain in prioritising collective as opposed to individual performance improvement. Addressing individual integration obstacles should be a priority and strategies should follow the supply chain collective factors. Holistic design would enable visualising how different types of integration, creates different effect. Basic holistic design is represented in Figure 1, building on the notion that supply chain design is a dynamic concept and interdependencies are related in an individual context where the supply chain structural elements are based on a business model as multi-level strategic themes, representing a structured system. Thus, a hierarchical method can be applied for network design and for deconstructing supply chains in hierarchical trees to create supply chain design decompositions. The synthesised knowledge from the reviewed models derives with the initial design of an epistemological framework in Figure 1.

![Figure 1: Framework synthesising the findings related to designing supply chain model with IoT technologies in the digital economy](image)
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The framework in Figure 1 differentiates from previous models as it enables investigating the supply chain actual capabilities which are analysed through the digital operational activities. The framework represents a generic design and does not represent specific supply chain objectives. Instead, it presents the scaffolding for the required operational activities. The scaffolding enables the design process to populate the categories and themes with cyber activities, related to IoT technologies, and to compare these activities with the digital capabilities in SME’s supply chains.

4.1 Building upon the Framework - IoT and the Digital Economy

There are many business opportunities in networking the supply chains with the digital economy. Smart manufacturing would enable economies of scale and individual customer requirements, creating value opportunities, increasing resource productivity, and providing flexibility in business processes, but requires integration of IoT theories, control of physical systems, and the interaction between humans and IoT.

There is also an inherent risk as the cyber risk is constantly changing, and estimated loss of range varies and many SME’s lack of understanding about online security threats. In addition, there is an inconsistency in measuring cyber risk. The supply chain accumulated risk needs to be quantified. Literature calculates the impact on organisations stand-alone risk ignoring the cascading impacts of sharing infrastructure. Shared risk in infrastructure is vital in the digital economy.

4.2 Populating the Framework through Case Study Research

Case study research is applied for designing the Decision Support System (DSS) for the IoT and the Digital Economy. The case study instigates by requesting the participants to define an overall business objective as a vision that can be applied to the IoT concept. Directive, conventional and summative analysis was applied to analyse and categorise the concepts emerging from the interviews. The process in Figure 2 followed the constructivist grounded theory methodology, to identify and relate the functional themes behind individual strategic themes, as described in the framework (Figure 1).
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Figure 2: DSS roadmap for visualising supply chain cyber risk

4.3 Discussion

To build the DSS, supply chains must be articulated with consideration of the cyber risks and the operational and digital capabilities for IoT technologies. When multiple parties are involved in the supply chain, the vision to integrate in IoT technologies must be perceived as integrated visions with the other parties and must be correlated to the stated themes and categories.

4.4 Conclusion

The new DSS in this article is grounded on a new framework that represents a generic roadmap for the segments of cyber risks in supply chains, which have until now been overlooked. The DSS confirmed that integrating IoT technologies results with an inherent cyber risk and the cyber risk can be visualised through evaluating the cyber operational capabilities. At a higher analytical level, this article focused on developing a decision support system to provide guidance for academics and practitioners in visualising supply chain cyber risk from IoT digital technology. The case study is also informed by the sustained engagement of the UK EPSRC IoT Research Hub ‘PETRAS’ (https://www.petrashub.org) with a broad set of user partners for a wide range of private sectors, government agencies, and charities at international scale.
4.5 Limitations and Further Research

Different supply chains could require adjusting the model input, which could contain other types of cyber risks. Further research is needed to apply, test and validate the model for other types of cyber risks e.g. IoT services and third-party software.
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